UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,606	06/23/2003	Christopher Dube	DR-352J	8958
IANDIORIO & TESKA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ATTORNEYS			EXAMINER	
			FOX, JOHN C	
260 BEAR HILL ROAD WALTHAM, MA 02451-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,606	DUBE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Fox	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-45 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1 and 3-45 are subject to restriction	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the deposition of	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	oate

This action is responsive to the communication filed June 30, 2008.

It is noted that claims 5-8 depend from cancelled claim 2. Applicant is invited to correct the deficiency prior to an action on the merits.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8,13 drawn to a microfluidic system, classified in class 137, subclass 560.
- Claim 9, drawn to a microfluidic system and valve, classified in class 137, subclass 802.
- III. Claim 10, drawn to a microfluidic system and a pump, classified in class417, subclass 313.
- IV. Claim 11, drawn to a microfluidic system and a reservoir, classified in class 220, subclass 62.11.
- V. Claim 12, drawn to a microfluidic system and a mixer, classified in class 366, subclass 349.
- VI. Claim 14, drawn to a microfluidic system and a filter, classified in class 210, subclass 251.
- VII. Claims 15, 34, 36, 40-43, drawn to a microfluidic system and a dispenser, classified in class 239, subclass 575.
- VIII. Claim 16, drawn to a microfluidic system and a reactor, classified in class 422, subclass 129.
- IX. Claim 17, drawn to a microfluidic system and a heater, classified in class237, subclass 1R.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Art Unit: 3753

X. Claim 18, drawn to a microfluidic system and a concentrator, classified in class 422, subclass 256.

Page 3

- XI. Claim 19, drawn to a microfluidic system and a pressurizer, classified in class 137, subclass 365.01.
- XII. Claim 20, drawn to a microfluidic system and a cooling device, classified in class 62, subclass 331.
- XIII. Claims 21-33, 38-39, drawn to a microfluidic system and a sensor, classified in class 73, subclass 432.1.
- XIV. Claim 35, 44-45, drawn to a microfluidic system and a heat exchanger, classified in class 165, subclass 138.
- XV. Claim 37, drawn to a microfluidic system and a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 12.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II-XV and I are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the allowability of the combination may be predicated on the combination, *per se*. The subcombination has separate utility such as a microfluidic gear train, for example.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II-XV are directed to related combinations. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have a materially different mode of operation, function, or effect. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

If Group XIII is elected, the following election of species is made.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

The embodiment corresponding to claim 23

The embodiment corresponding to claim 24

Art Unit: 3753

The embodiment corresponding to claim 25

The embodiment corresponding to claim 26

The embodiment corresponding to claim 27

The embodiment corresponding to claim 28

The embodiment corresponding to claim 29

The embodiment corresponding to claim 30

The embodiment corresponding to claim 31

The embodiment corresponding to claim 32

The embodiment corresponding to claim 33.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claims 1 and 21 appear to be generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606

Page 6

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,606 Page 7

Art Unit: 3753

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Fox/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753